ED 467 794 IR 021 429

DOCUMENT RESUME

AUTHOR Willis, Jana M.; Cifuentes, Lauren

TITLE Moving beyond the Training Environment to a Vision of

Technology Integration in the Classroom Curriculum: A Case

Study (August 2001).

PUB DATE 2002-04-00

NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 1-5,

2002).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Computer Assisted Instruction; Computer Uses in Education;

Educational Development; \*Educational Technology; Elementary Secondary Education; Faculty Development; Instructional

Development; Teaching Methods; Technology Integration;

Training

#### **ABSTRACT**

Teachers participating in technology training must move beyond training classrooms to apply teaching methods that facilitate technology integration in their classrooms. Most adoption literature comes from proponents of innovations and outcomes resulting from applications of innovations, but more case studies of teacher experiences in technology integration processes are needed to better understand how to facilitate integration. The purpose of this study was to determine to what extent teachers: (1) alter their teaching methods; and (2) integrate technology into their classroom curriculum during and after a technology-training course designed to prepare teachers to use technologies to support their teaching and student learning. The course under investigation was offered in both online (OL) and face-to-face (F2F) delivery modes. Comparison of both modes of instruction on teacher professional development within the subject area of technology training and implementation was conducted. Case study methods were applied to gain an understanding of the experiences of teachers as they moved through an OL or F2F course designed to prepare teachers for integrating technology into the curriculum. Complementary data collection processes were used in each of the eight cases to provide depth and breadth in identifying and analyzing the barriers and processes affecting the impact of the training course. The study suggests that teachers increase their use of technology in the classroom during and after training in the process of integrating technology into the curriculum. Further, teachers do not alter their existing teaching methods as they integrate technology, but use technology in ways that support their current classroom practices. The study confirmed the existence of intrinsic and extrinsic barriers that interfere with teachers' abilities to integrate technology into the classroom curriculum. Several other factors that impact technology integration were noted in this study. (Contains 23 references.) (AEF)



Moving Beyond the Training Environment to a Vision of Technology Integration in the Classroom Curriculum: A Case Study. (August 2001)

> Jana M. Willis University of Houston-Clear Lake United States of America willis@cl.uh.edu

> > Lauren Cifuentes Texas A&M University United States of America laurenc@tamu.edu

Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association New Orleans, April 2002

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS **BEEN GRANTED BY** 

J.M. Willis

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



Moving Beyond the Training Environment to a Vision of Technology Integration in the Classroom Curriculum: A Case Study. (August 2001)

### **ABSTRACT**

Teachers participating in technology training must move beyond training classrooms to apply teaching methods that facilitate technology integration in their classrooms. Most adoption literature comes from proponents of innovations and outcomes resulting from applications of innovations, but more case studies of teacher experiences in technology integration processes are needed to better understand how to facilitate integration (Willis, Thompson & Sadera, 1999).

The cases studied illuminated the processes of technology integration for elementary and secondary teachers possessing low and high levels of technology skill and use. Through this study instructors will better understand how to facilitate technology integration for different types of teachers. The study identified implications for future studies involving technology integration training and processes and also identified areas of concern in the technology integration program investigated and those individuals involved in the training and integration process.

### PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to determine to what extent teachers (a) alter their teaching methods and (b) integrate technology into their classroom curriculum during and after a technology-training course designed to prepare teachers to use technologies to support their teaching and student learning. The course under investigation was



offered in both online (OL) and face-to-face (F2F delivery modes. Therefore, comparison of both modes of instruction on teacher professional development within the subject area of technology training and implementation was conducted.

#### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In order to investigate the processes that occur during and after technology training as individuals integrate technology into their classroom curriculum, an understanding of the current educational system as it relates to technology and teaching practices must be established. The goals of restructuring the current educational system were reviewed to gain understanding of the shift from the traditional role of teachers as purveyors of knowledge to a role that allowed for the establishment of a more learner-centered environment and the role that technology would have in establishing these new roles and supporting the new learning environments (Goals 2000, 2000; Technology Assessment [OTA], 1995; Texas Long Range Plan, 1996). The theoretical foundations of this study are therefore based on a conceptual understanding of: (a) the goals of restructuring; (b) the role of technology in restructuring; (c) the changing roles of teachers; (d) teacher training; (e) barriers to technology integration; and (f) the implementation process.

Training teachers in the processes of integrating technology must replace current practice of simply training teachers in computer applications (Brownell, 1992; Ertmer, 1999; Roblyer et al., 2000; Schrum, 1999; Simonson & Thompson, 1997). Teachers determine what happens in the classroom and how innovations are or are not implemented (Sandholtz et al., 1997). Therefore, if teachers are to move beyond the



training environment to implementation in their classroom, they must be prepared to overcome obstacles that interfere with the process. Obstacles may be intrinsic and/or extrinsic to the individuals involved. Effective training programs are needed to provide teachers with models to build their own visions of an integrated classroom (Beichner, 1993; Cifuentes, 2001; Kerr, 1996; Schrum; Studler & Wetzel, 1999).

### **METHODS**

Case study methods (Wiersma, 1995) were applied to gain an understanding of the experiences of teachers as they moved through an OL or F2F course designed to prepare teachers for integrating technology into the curriculum. Complementary data collection processes (Shulman, 1986) were used in each of the eight cases to provide depth and breadth in identifying and analyzing the barriers and processes affecting the impact of the training course. In this study, the integration of survey, interview, and observational approaches offered the researcher an opportunity to develop a complete analysis of participant behavior from a holistic perspective (Gall, Borg & Gall, 1996).

## **DATA SOURCES**

INST 6031:Applications of Technology, a core graduate-level course offered by the School of Education at the University of Houston – Clear Lake, introduced students to the tools and skills necessary to understand and operate computers, navigate the Internet and World Wide Web, and create hypermedia products. The course included educational applications of instructional and information technologies to promote the integration of technology into the curriculum. Emphasis was on the comprehensive integration and implementation of the Technology Applications Texas Essential



Knowledge and Skills (Texas Education Agency, 2001), Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS) 2000 report (U.S. Department of Labor), and those tools that have important implications for the creation of products with the technology. The course was offered either in an OL format or in a traditional F2F setting. The OL course met for an initial orientation session prior to the start of the semester and all other interaction was conducted on-line. The F2F course met weekly for 15 3-hour sessions. Within both delivery methods, a combination of hands-on lab assignments and content material was offered through a student-centered approach. Students and faculty worked together to identify learning requirements, learning strategies and assessment criteria based on students' prior skills and areas of interest. Students gained experience in the educational use of such technologies as productivity tools, presentation graphics, multimedia, and telecomputing technologies; however, they did so by applying tools to practical problems and opportunities as the basis for understanding, developing, and demonstrating activities that enhanced both student and teacher performance.

The PK-12 teachers enrolled in all sections of the graduate level *INST*6031:Applications of Technology course at University of Houston – Clear Lake made up the pool of 30 participants. A computer use survey was administered and collected prior to any classroom instruction. The survey scores were based on a point value associated with the level of skills selected by the individual, levels 1 - 4 were given point values of 1 - 4 accordingly, no response resulted in zero points for that question. The participants were divided into two groups, which were representative of the participants' teaching



grade levels Elementary (PK-6) and Secondary (6-12). The Elementary and Secondary groups were then divided by course delivery method. The two course delivery methods under investigation were OL and F2F. Therefore, the cases for investigation were selected from a sample of Elementary – OL, Elementary – F2F, Secondary – OL and Secondary – F2F. A systematic sample using circular lists, rank ordered by skill level from highest to lowest composite score, was used to ensure representation of varied technology skill levels within the grade levels.

### RESULTS

The study suggests that teachers increase their use of technology in the classroom during and after training in the process of integrating technology into the curriculum. Further, teachers do not alter their existing teaching methods as they integrate technology, but use technology in ways that support their current classroom practices. The study confirmed the existence of intrinsic and extrinsic barriers that interfere with teachers' abilities to integrate technology into the classroom curriculum. Several other factors that impact technology integration were noted in this study: (a) instructional approaches restricted by state-mandated objectives associated with Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) and End of Course exams; (b) technological skill levels influenced the degree of difficulty in managing logistical issues related to technology integration; (c) career goals of the individuals influenced their integration of technology into the classroom curriculum; (d) constructivist-oriented teachers experienced less difficulty integrating technology in learner-centered activities; and, (e) simultaneous change cycles experienced by teachers affected the technology integration process.



Recommendations from this study contribute to the future development of an effective model of teacher training in technology integration for different types of teachers. This study adds to the existing literature on effective training in technology integration by focusing on the individual skills, needs, and classroom environments of those individuals involved in the training rather than the implementation of the innovation. "Cookie cutter" courses that focus on basic skills and application training will not enable the transfer of skills from the training environment to the classroom. Frequent modeling of technology-enhanced instruction directly related to the classroom environment would better facilitate transfer of technology skills and use (Studler & Wetzel, 1999). Training for technology integration must incorporate in its structure the instructional methods and classroom practices that facilitate technology integration.

This study extends the current research on the CBAM model and the process of change by focusing attention on the individual characteristics of teachers involved in a training program. Although the teachers in this study experienced similar stages of concern as they moved through the change process initiated by training, they were similar only in the sense that they defined a stage in the process of change. Concerns were affected by the individual characteristics of the teacher and their classroom environment. Resistance to change was related to the intrinsic/extrinsic barriers and concerns encountered by the individual teacher. Training courses must address the concerns and resistance of teachers moving through the various stages by involving them in the training process through collaboration and modeling. Teachers should be offered



opportunities to experience technology use and integration implementation that address their individual concerns and reasons for resistance.

Training in the integration of technology that is a combination of both integration and skills training is more beneficial than basic technology skills training alone, but technology training that is aligned with the curriculum and relevant to what teachers do in the classroom is most effective (Trotter, 1999). The findings of this study support previous findings that the individual teachers' beliefs, previous teaching experiences, content areas, and classroom environments directly impact their teaching practices and therefore influence their uses of technology integration in the classroom (Dwyer et al., 1990a, 1990b; Ertmer, 1999; Fulton, 1999; Studler & Wetzel, 1999). This study extends the literature with two additional factors that directly impact teachers' use of technology in the classroom. First, the career goals of the individual teachers not only affect how the teachers envision the use of technology in the curriculum but the extent to which they apply the skills and knowledge obtained during the training program to their current classroom environment.

Second, conflicts that occurred as teachers' experienced simultaneous multiple change processes directly impacted the teachers' skill growth, concerns, levels of use of technology integration. Restructuring of schools initiates change that affects the roles of teachers, learners, and technology in the classroom. Technology training initiates change for the individual through new skill acquisition and application. In addition, teachers experience change as they transfer the skills and knowledge gained in the training environment to the classroom. Teachers therefore may have difficulty moving through



each of the individual change processes due to difficulties or conflicts encountered in other change processes. Again, this researcher hypothesizes that the complexity of change processes toward technology integration requires a comprehensive effort.

Training program developers and instructors must address these two factors if the individuals enrolled in their programs are to move beyond training to implementation in their own environments. First, technology integration that promotes effective uses of technology in teaching and learning must be an integral part of all education programs and not limited to teacher training courses. The educational value of integrating technology into the classroom curriculum must be emphasized in all education programs. Technology integration must become a component of the entire education process and not be confined to technology training courses.

Second, to address the conflicts of multiple change processes, individuals need to be made aware of the relationship between the changing practice and their current practice. Simply training individuals in the processes of technology integration is not sufficient; training must be related to the current practices and environments of the individuals. Successful change occurs when individuals recognize the benefits and advantages that will occur as the result of change. Such recognition results from participation in coursework that models effective technology integration.



#### References

Beichner, R. J., (1993). Technology competencies for new teachers: Issues and suggestions. *Journal of Computing in Teacher Education*, 9(3), 17-20.

Brownell, G. (1992). *Computers and teaching* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York: West Publishing Company.

Cifuentes, L. (1997). From sages to guides: A professional development study. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*. 5(1), 67-77.

Dwyer, D. C., Ringstaff, C., & Sandholtz, J. H. (1990a). *Teacher beliefs and practices: Part I. Patterns of change* [Apple Classrooms of Tomorrow Research Report No. 8]. [On-line] Available: <a href="http://www.apple.com/education/k12/leadership/acot/library.html">http://www.apple.com/education/k12/leadership/acot/library.html</a>

Dwyer, D. C., Ringstaff, C., & Sandholtz, J. H. (1990b). *Teacher beliefs and practices:* Part I. Support for change [Apple Classrooms of Tomorrow Research Report No. 9]. [On-line] Available: <a href="http://www.apple.com/education/k12/leadership/acot/library.html">http://www.apple.com/education/k12/leadership/acot/library.html</a>

Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47-61.

Fulton, K. L. (1999). How teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning are reflected in their use of technology: Case studies from urban middle schools. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Maryland, College Park.

Gall, M. D., Borg, W. R., & Gall, J. P. (1996). Educational research: An introduction (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York: Longman Publishers USA.

Kerr, S. T. (1996). Visions of sugarplums: The future of technology, education, and the schools. In S.T. Kerr (Ed.), *Technology and the future of schooling: Ninety-fifth yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education*, (part 2), (pp. 1-27). Chicago: University of Chicago.

Office of Technology Assessment. (1995). *Teachers and technology: Making the connection*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.

Roblyer, M. D., Edwards, J., & Havriluk, M. A. (2000). *Integrating educational technology into teaching* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Sandholtz, J. H., Ringstaff, C., & Dwyer, D. C. (1997). *Teaching with technology: Creating student-centered classrooms*. New York: Teachers College Press.



Schrum, L. (1999). Technology professional development for teachers. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 83-90.

Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills. (1991). What work requires of schools. A SCANS report for America 2000. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor.

Shulman, L. S. (1986). Paradigms and research programs in the study of teaching: A contemporary perspective. In M. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (pp. 39-36). New York: MacMillan.

Simonson, M. R., & Thompson, A. (1997). Educational computing foundations. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.

Strudler, N., & Wetzel, K. (1999). Lessons from exemplary colleges of education: Factors affecting technology integration in preservice programs. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 63-81.

Texas Education Agency (2001). *Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills*. Austin, TX: Author.

Texas State Board of Education (1996). Long-range plan for technology (1996-2010). Austin, TX: Publications Office, Texas Education Agency.

Trotter, A. (1999). "Preparing teachers for the digital age." Education Week, 19, 37-43. U.S. Department of Education. (1998). Goals 2000: Reforming education to improve student achievement. Washington, DC: Author.

U.S. Department of Education. (1998). Goals 2000: Reforming education to improve student achievement. Washington, DC: Author.

Wiersma, W. (1995). Research methods in education: An introduction (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Willis, J., Thompson, A., & Sadera, W. (1999). Research on technology and teacher education: Current status and future directions. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 29-45.





U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO	AL.	•
DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO		o Vicin a CTable alia
the Moving Dequiation	Training Environment to SSrcom Curriculum: A Casa	a Vision of Technology
megration in the class	ssrcom Curriculum; it case	e Simmy (Hugustada)
thor(s): Jana MW: 11is	¿ Lauren Cifuentes	
prporate Source:	•	Publication Date:
•		
REPRODUCTION RELEASE		
onthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, R	te timely and significant mater als of interest to the edu esources in Education (RIE), are usually made availal	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy
nd electronic media, and sold through the ER production release is granted, one of the follow	IC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit	is given to the source of each document, and,
		•n • n • n • n
the page.	eminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE	of the following three options and sign at the bottor
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 28 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY,	DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
, BEEN GIGANIES ST	HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	WHO ROTTE ONE THAT BEEN GRANTED BY
mple		mple
Samp	58	58
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
, ,	2A	<b>2B</b>
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting production and dissemination in microfiche or other	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting
ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docum	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality p	ermits
If permission to re	sproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be prod	essed at Level 1.
I hereby grant to the Educational Resi	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permis	cion to monadore and discominate this do
83 Indicated above. Reproduction fro	om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso	ans other than ERIC employees and its system.
to satisfy information needs of educat	he copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit rej tors in response to discrete inquiries.	production by libranes and other service agencies
gn <sup>Skýreitye:</sup>	Printed Name/Po	eition/Title:
re, + Mc 42 Will	vo Jaran	1W. 1115 /Assistant Profes
Base WACO SWA CASON DIT	WALL STON - CL Telephone: 0.	3-3565 FAX 281-283-3565
Houston TV 7105	251-38 E-Mail Address	Dete: ( ) it



# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:			
Address:	<u> </u>		
Price:			
IV REFERRAL OF	ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPROD	DUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:	
		e addressee, please provide the appropriate name	and
If the right to grant this repro			and
If the right to grant this repro address:			and
If the right to grant this representations:			and

# V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
1129 SHRIVER LAB
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or If making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2000)

